

San Jose, CA 95110

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O/Bo. 1450 Alexandra, Vyginia 22313-1450

ginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. 09/940,026 08/27/2001 Lane W. Lee M-12041 US 4898 7590 05/08/2006 **EXAMINER** Theodore P Lopez ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1762 Technology Drive Suite 226 2131

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/940,0	26 LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit		
	Kaveh Ab	rishamkar	2131		
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THE PROPERTY O	HIS COMMUNICA ent, however, may a reply rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS blication to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. Disposition of Claims	2b)⊡ This action is r n for allowance except	non-final. for formal matters	·	ne merits is	
4)	are withdrawn from co	nsideration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by to the specification is objected to by the specific transfer of	e: a) accepted or b jection to the drawing(s) ng the correction is require	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 (
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit 2. Certified copies of the priorit 3. Copies of the certified copie application from the Internat * See the attached detailed Office act	y documents have bee y documents have bee s of the priority docum ional Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in App ents have been re le 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this Nationa	al Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			nmary (PTO-413) /ail Date rmal Patent Application (P	TO-152)	

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on February 21, 2006. Claim 20 is presently amended. Claims 1-2, 5-14, 16-18, and 20 are currently being considered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed February 21, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

Regarding claim 1, the Applicant argues that the Cited Prior Art (CPA), Hurtado et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2003/0105718 A1), does not teach a "storage engine." This argument is not found persuasive. The examiner have given the broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification, and based on that interpretation, the storage engine is interpreted to be any computing device such as a computer or a server capable of storing data and capable of generating a random number. The storage engine of the claimed subject matter is seen as equivalent to the clearing house of the CPA. The clearing house receives a certificate from the host, verifies the digital signatures (paragraph 181), and if the digital signatures are verified sends a decryption key (random number) to the host so that the content can be decrypted. Since the clearing house "provides licensing, authorization, and record keeping" related to encrypted content (paragraph 181), it is interpreted as being a

Art Unit: 2131

"storage engine." Therefore, Examiner asserts based on the claim limitations, the clearinghouse is analogous to the "storage engine" as there is no differentiating limitations present in the claims which differentiate the claimed "storage engine" from the clearinghouse of the CPA. Therefore, the rejection is respectfully maintained as given below.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The Examiner notes that the claimed limitation "if the digital signature are verified and validated, generating a random number at the storage engine and encrypting the random number with a public key extracted from the certificate to form a session key and transmitting the session key to the host" is not consistent with Figure 6 with refers to this embodiment. Figure 6 delineates a process of verifying a signature then generating a random number which is the session key and then encrypting the session key with a public key, while the claim does not delineate the *random number* as the session key, but instead *an encrypted random number* as the session key. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Art Unit: 2131

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hurtado et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0105718) in view of Liu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,760,752).

Regarding claim 1, Hurtado discloses:

A method of authenticating a host to receive content from a storage engine, the method comprising:

receiving at the storage engine a certificate from the host, the certificate including a plurality of fields, including a field holding a digital signature from a certifying authority (Figures 1 – 6, paragraphs 205 – 213);

verifying the digital signatures in the certificate, the verifying including at least one of:

verifying the certifying authority digital signature using the certifying authority public key (Figures 1 – 6, paragraphs 205 – 209); and

verifying a host digital signature using a device public key (Figure 1 - 6, paragraphs 303 - 324); and

receiving validation data from a source, the validation data identifying one or more data in the certificate as valid or invalid according to predetermined criteria (Figures 1-6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206-215); and

Art Unit: 2131

if the digital signatures are verified and validated, generating a random number at the storage engine and encrypting the random number with a public key extracted from the certificate to form a session key and transmitting the session key to the host (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215);

at the host, receiving an encrypted content key from the storage engine (paragraph 18, , paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215), wherein the decrypting key in the secure container is used to decrypt the content; and

decrypting the encrypted content key using the session key to recover the content key (paragraph 18), wherein the end user key is used to decrypt the secure container containing the decrypting key (content key) used to decrypt the content.

Hurtado does not explicitly disclose "if the digital signatures are verified and validated, generating a random number at the storage engine and encrypting the random number with a public key extracted from the certificate to form a session key and transmitting the session key to the host." Liu discloses a secure transmission system wherein the encrypting step can include "generating a random number, encrypting the message using the random number as a session key in a symmetric key encryption algorithm and encrypting the session key using a public key encryption algorithm and the public key of the recipient" (column 2 lines 33-37). Hurtado and Liu are analogous arts as both disclose a method of sending a secure message by encrypting the message (secure container) with a session key (end user encrypting key). Hurtado discloses that a secure container containing a decrypting key (content key) is encrypted with a end user key (session key) and transmitted to the recipient. Liu

Art Unit: 2131

extends this idea by establishing how the session key is formed (by generating a random number) and encrypted (by a public key). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method of forming the session key (by a random number) and encrypting it using a public key, in order to "ensure the integrity of information sent over the Internet" (column 1 lines 30-33) as stated by Liu.

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the source is one of a portable medium and firmware (Figure 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying of the host includes certifying a second host for a host to second host secure communication channel, certifying allowing a copy function between the host and the second host (paragraph 246 – 249).

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the data in the certificate specifies one or more of a product category, a product line, a model, a revision and a serial number of the host (paragraph 457).

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate includes one or more of a certifying authority identifier field, a version field, a sign key identifier field, an exposed methods field, a company field, a model identifier field, a revision field, a metadata identifier field, a device digital signature key field, a certifying authority digital signature field, a serial number field, a protocol public key field and a device digital signature field, wherein the certifying authority digital signature verifies one or more of the fields in the certificate and the host digital signature verifies one or more of the fields in the certificate (paragraph 229, 251, 293).

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate enables an entity receiving the certificate to control the quality of the host by invalidating devices that are false or have latent defects (Figures 6 – 10, paragraph 457).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 13 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certificate specifies one or more certificate classes, the certificate classes providing a set of methods that may be exposed after the transmitting the session key (paragraphs 880 – 884).

Claim 16 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein each of the fields holds 326-bit values for 163-bit elliptic curve cryptography (paragraph 52, paragraphs 193-197, paragraphs 248-256).

Claim 17 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying authority public key is referenced by a field of the certificate (pages 18 – 23).

Claim 18 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein the certifying authority public key is in a firmware component (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the source validation data is compared with the data in the certificate to identify as invalid one or more of the product category, the product line, the model, the revision and the serial number of the host (paragraphs 462 - 463).

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the certificate further includes fields provided by a host manufacturer, including the company public key, wherein the company public key is digitally signed by the certifying authority (pages 18 – 23).

Claim 11 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 6 wherein the certificate further includes fields provided by a host manufacturer, the fields including the device public key, wherein the host public key is digitally signed by the company (pages 18 - 23).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

Art Unit: 2131

The method of claim 6 wherein one or more of the product category, the product line, the model, the revision and the serial number of the host are provided to a certificate creator after the host passes a qualification procedure (paragraph 457).

Claim 14 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore, Hurtado discloses:

The method of claim 13 wherein the set of methods includes digital rights management (DRM) methods include one or more of a copy method, a record method, a play method, a read secure metadata method, a write secure metadata method, and an unlock method, the DRM methods operable according to a type of the host (paragraph 10).

Regarding claim 20, Hurtado discloses:

A storage engine configured to certify a host, the engine comprising:

- a firmware component including:
- a block configured to receive a certificate from the host, the certificate including a plurality of fields, including a field holding a protocol public key (Figures 1 6, paragraphs 205 213);
- a block configured to verify one or more digital signatures in the certificate including at least one of:
- a certifying authority digital signature using a certifying authority public key (Figures 1 6, paragraphs 205 209); and

Art Unit: 2131

a device digital signature using a device public key in the certificate (Figure 1 - 6, paragraphs 303 - 324); and

a block configured to receive validation data from a source, the validation data identifying one or more data in the certificate as valid or invalid according to predetermined criteria (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215);

a block configured to transmit a session key to the host when the digital signatures are verified and validated (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215); and

a block to transmit an encrypted content key to the host, wherein the host enabled to recover a content key from the encrypted content key by using the session key (Figures 1 – 6, paragraph 18, paragraph 181, paragraph 185, paragraphs 206 – 215).

Hurtado does not explicitly disclose "a block configured to generate a random number and transmit a random number to the host if digital signatures are verified and validated." Liu discloses a secure transmission system wherein the encrypting step can include "generating a random number, encrypting the message using the random number as a session key in a symmetric key encryption algorithm and encrypting the session key using a public key encryption algorithm and the public key of the recipient" (column 2 lines 33-37). Hurtado and Liu are analogous arts as both disclose a method of sending a secure message by encrypting the message (secure container) with a session key (end user encrypting key). Hurtado discloses that a secure container

containing a decrypting key (content key) is encrypted with a end user key (session key) and transmitted to the recipient. Liu extends this idea by establishing how the session key is formed (by generating a random number) and encrypted (by a public key). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the method of forming the session key (by a random number) and encrypting it using a public key, in order to "ensure the integrity of information sent over the Internet" (column 1 lines 30-33) as stated by Liu.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/940,026 Page 13

Art Unit: 2131

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 571-272-3786. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA 05/02/2006 PRIMARY EXAMINER